



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,553	03/25/2004	Charles Ray Johns	AUS920030696US1	7923

50170 7590 09/28/2006

IBM CORP. (WIP)
c/o WALDER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, P.C.
P.O. BOX 832745
RICHARDSON, TX 75083

EXAMINER

LEE, CHUN KUAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2181

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/809,553	Applicant(s) JOHNS ET AL.	
	Examiner Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee	Art Unit 2181	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Fritz Fleming
FRITZ FLEMING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
9/26/2006

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/27/2006 and 08/01/2006.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

As per claim 6, it appears unclear regarding exactly what "class line" is, as the specification and the drawings does not appears to disclose or enable "class line." Examiner will examine claim 6 without the "class line" limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 3, 9, 11, 15 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Futral et al. (US Pub.: 2005/0033874).

Art Unit: 2181

3. As per claims 1, 9 and 15, Futral teaches a system, a method, and a computer program product having a medium with a computer program embodied thereon to provide software program control of cache management, comprising:

a processor (Fig. 1, ref. 102) and a DMA controller (Fig. 1, ref. 122);

the processor configured to generate DMA commands for the management of a cache (Fig. 1, ref. 116) on the execution of a software program on the processor ([0001], [0011] and [0015]), wherein the processor commands by requesting the DMA controller to transfer data to/from the cache; and

the DMA controller coupled to the processor, configured to execute the DMA commands for the management of a cache ([0001], [0011] and [0015]), wherein the DMA controller implement the transferring of data in accordance to the request from the processor.

4. As per claims 3, 11 and 16-17, Futral teaches the system, the method, and the computer program product having the medium with the computer program embodied thereon to provide software program control of cache management, comprising:

wherein at least one of the DMA commands is a get command (e.g. to read data from the cache) ([0021]) and

at least one of the DMA commands is a put command (e.g. to store data into the cache) ([0022]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2, 7-8, 10, 13-14 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Futral et al. (US Pub.: 2005/0033874) in view of Ollivier et al. (US Patent 6,738,881).

Futral teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 9 and 15 as discussed above, where Futral teaches the system, the method, and the computer program product having the medium with the computer program embodied thereon to provide software program control of cache management, comprising wherein the memory (Fig. 1, ref. 116) is coupled to the DMA controller (Fig. 1, ref. 122)

Futral does not teaches the system, the method, and the computer program product having the medium with the computer program embodied thereon to provide software program control of cache management, comprising:

a cache coupled to the DMA controller, the system configured for the execution of the DMA commands for the management of a cache on the DMA controller to manage the operation of the cache coupled to the DMA controller;
wherein the cache is a DMA cache tightly coupled to the DMA controller;
and
wherein the cache is a cache for system memory.

Ollivier teaches a system and a method comprising:

implementing a DMA data transferring to and from a plurality of memories (Fig. 2, ref. 220, 222, 224) by utilizing a DMA controller (Fig. 2, ref. 210), wherein the plurality of memories are coupled to the DMA controller and are memories of the system (Fig. 2, ref. 100) (col. 3, l. 57 to col. 4, l. 3); and

the DMA controller further include a plurality of FIFOs (Fig. 3A, ref. FIFO 0, FIFO 1, FIFO 2, FIFO 3, FIFO 4, FIFO 5), wherein the plurality of FIFOs are utilized to manage the data transferring to and from the plurality of memories (Fig. 2, ref. 220, 222, 224) (col. 3, l. 57 to col. 4, l. 3 and col. 5, ll. 39-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include Ollivier's plurality of memories and plurality of FIFOs into Futral's system of cache management. The resulting combination of the references further teaches the system, the method, and the computer program product having the medium with the computer program embodied thereon to provide software program control of cache management, comprising:

the plurality of memory coupled to the DMA controller, wherein the DMA command is executed for transferring data to and from the plurality of FIFOs on the DMA controller, in order to transfer data to and from the plurality of memories coupled to the DMA controller

wherein the plurality of FIFOs (i.e. DMA cache) are tightly coupled to the DMA controller; and

wherein the plurality of memories are the cache for system.

Art Unit: 2181

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Ollivier with Futral for the benefit of improving the performance of a processor (Ollivier, col. 1, ll. 48-51).

6. Claims 4-5, 12 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Futral et al. (US Pub.: 2005/0033874) in view of Liao et al. (US Patent 6,681,296).

Futral teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 9 and 15 as discussed above.

Futral does not expressly teach the system, the method, and the computer program product having the medium with the computer program embodied thereon to provide software program control of cache management, comprising:

wherein at least one of the DMA commands is a flush command and

wherein at least one of the DMA commands is a zero command.

Liao teaches a cache management system and method comprising:

a "block flush" command (col. 3, ll. 8-23); and

a "block set to zero" command (i.e. zero command) (col. 3, ll. 8-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include Liao's "block flush" and "block set to zero" commands into Futral's system of cache management.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Liao with Futral for the benefit of more efficient utilization of on-chip cache (Liao, col. 4, ll. 47-53).

Art Unit: 2181

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Futral et al. (US Pub.: 2005/0033874) in view of Ohba (US Patent 6,427,201).

Futral teaches all the limitations of claim 1 as discussed above, where Futral teaches the system to provide software program control of cache management, comprising wherein the parameters of the DMA commands comprise a transfer size (size 204 of Fig. 2) and a source (Fig. 2, ref. 200) comprises the physical address for the start location (Fig. 2, ref. 210), wherein the size is utilized in defining the physical address for the end location (i.e. effective address low) ([0017] and [0021]), as the effective address is defined by the start location and the end location.

Futral does not expressly teach the system to provide software program control of cache management, comprising wherein the parameters of the DMA commands comprise tag.

Ohba teaches a system and a method comprising a DMA packet including a tag-command (DMA-tag) (Fig. 6 and col. 7, l. 64 to col. 8, l. 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include Ohba's tag-command into Futral's DMA commands. The resulting combination of the references further teaches the system to provide software program control of cache management, comprising wherein the parameters of the DMA commands comprise tag-command, size and end location of the physical address.

Art Unit: 2181

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Ohba with Futral for the benefit of providing an information processing device for efficiently performing various processing operations (Ohba, col. 1, ll. 58-63).

Art Unit: 2181

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-0671. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fritz M. Fleming can be reached on (571) 272-4145. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

C.K.L.
09/25/2006


FRITZ FLEMING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
9/26/2006